his burden of production favoring detention, it does not disappear entirely but remains a factor to be considered among those weighed by the district court.

This is citing *United States v Rodriguez*, 950

F.2d 85, *United States v Martir*, 782 F.2d 1141, both

Second Circuit cases, the first from 1991, the second from 1986.

And the Mercedes case, at 254 F.3d 433.

The government retains the ultimate burden of persuasion by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant presents a danger to the community. The government also retains the ultimate burden of persuasion that the defendant presents a risk of flight by a preponderance of the evidence.

To determine whether the presumptions of dangerousness and flight are rebutted, I must consider the nature and circumstances of the crime charged, the weight of the evidence against the defendant, the history and characteristics of the defendant, including family ties, employment, past conduct, and the nature and seriousness of the danger to the community for the individual.

I don't think there is any difference in your opinions about the nature and the circumstances of the crime charged. It is a very serious crime, I have already said that, and of course the penalties are very heavy.

Page 27 of 57

Now, the weight of the evidence against this 1 defendant. Is it circumstantial or direct? I need a 2 proffer from the government more than a recorded 3 conversation, which indeed is subject to many 4 interpretations and which formed the bulk of the 5 discussion at our last meeting. 6 So, Mr. Ryan, I leave it to you at this point. 7 MR. RYAN: Judge, the evidence that the 8 government will present at trial will show that this 9 defendant was a member of the Gambino crime family 10 supervising the drug distribution of an individual by the 11 name of Gus Fakiris who had a drug delivery service that 12 13 he used. THE COURT: Who is he? 14 MR. RYAN: Mr. Fakiris. 15 MR. FROCARRO: He is the fellow with the tapes, 16 17 Judge. THE COURT: I know that. I didn't know if the 18 pronoun referred to your client or Mr. Fakiris. 19 20 MR. FROCARRO: Okay. MR. RYAN: Mr. Zaccaro had a drug cultivation 21 and distribution system, hydroponic drugs, and was an 22 23 associate of the Gambino crime family. The evidence will show that Mr. Zaccaro made an 24 arrangement with this defendant, Mr. Ruggiero, to 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13

essentially buy access to the drug distribution individual, Gus Fakiris, he controlled to distribute the drugs produced by Mr. Zaccaro.

There was an arrangement, a fee arrangement, made between Mr. Zaccaro and --

THE COURT: Sort of a distribution route? MR. RYAN: It was a distribution route that was essentially paid for per pound.

Arrangement was made for payments per pound to Mr. Ruggiero for the drugs that were then -- the Zaccaro drugs that were then sold to Mr. Fakiris.

Mr. Fakiris then was beaten by Mr. Ruggiero and others and forced to comply with this, and received additional beatings throughout the period of time that he was under the direction and control of Mr. Ruggiero distributing Mr. Zaccaro's drugs, and delivered hundreds of thousands of dollars of cash to Mr. Ruggiero in connection with this drug distribution of Mr. Zaccaro's drugs.

THE COURT: Will the evidence be circumstantial or direct?

It will be both, Judge. Both the MR. RYAN: individuals that were involved in the conversations as well as coconspirators who were aware of the arrangement as well. And of course there will be direct evidence

```
14
     concerning the drug operations.
1
               THE COURT: And the violence?
2
3
               MR. RYAN: And the violence.
               THE COURT: The physical violence.
4
5
               MR. RYAN: The physical violence.
                MR. FROCARRO: Judge, in terms -- he says
6
7
     direct. They have two cooperating witness.
     Mr. Fakiris and Mr. Zaccaro.
8
                THE COURT: I don't have any idea what they
9
             Do you know what they have?
10
      have.
11
                MR. FROCARRO:
                               I do know.
                The tapes I brought out to your Honor contradict
12
      every word Mr. Ryan said. I'm not saying Mr. Ryan is not
13
14
      credible.
                 I'm saying that Mr. Fakiris is not credible.
                THE COURT: That is up to a jury.
15
                MR. FROCARRO: But, Judge, no, that is up to the
16
17
      tapes.
                The tapes, they say flat out, Mr. Fakiris says
18
      to Mr. Ruggiero that Mr. Zaccaro was the one who was
19
      visiting violence upon him. And in the context of their
20
21
      conversations, Mr. Ruggiero says to Mr. Fakiris: I told
      you you have to be out of this business. You have to have
22
23
      nothing to do with it.
24
                There is a concern on those tapes from Mr.
25
      Fakiris that Mr. Zaccaro was cooperating. Once Angelo
```

15 dusts off the hot seat for us, if Mr. Zaccaro is 1 cooperating, Fakiris should have concern for himself, and 2 Mr. Ruggiero has no concern. 3 THE COURT: Once again we are reading things 4 into those tapes. I don't want to hear any more about the 5 6 tapes. MR. FROCARRO: Judge, you have a proffer from a 7 government lawyer. There is not a witness under oath, 8 And what you have is his cooperating witness' 9 actual words for tapes that were produced at the direction 10 of the government, Judge. 11 THE COURT: You are saying those tapes --12 MR. FROCARRO: That should corroborate --13 THE COURT: Excuse me. Are you saying that 14 those tapes, that conversation, which is certainly subject 15 to interpretation, absolves your client from any claim of 16 any alleged behavior against Mr. Fakiris? 17 I think it absolutely does. MR. FROCARRO: 18 absolutely does. Because what he says is that Mr. Zaccaro 19 put a gun to his head so he stays in the business. 20 And he says to Mr. Ruggiero, I know you didn't 21 know about this. All right? And Angelo says to him, you 22 shouldn't have been in the business with this guy. 23 Judge, I think it absolutely clears him. 24 That is not the only tape. There is other tapes 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

between Mr. Paradisio, who is supposed to be a captain with this organized crime family, and Mr. Fakiris. it Fakiris says to Mr. Paradisio that I lied to you guys, meaning him and Ruggiero, all along. I'm still dealing drugs even though I was instructed to get out of that business.

That further corroborates that Mr. Ruggiero is not involved.

And the other thing I want to say, judge, is, I know prosecutors are allowed to proceed by proffer. It is a big advantage for them, you know, if I don't have access to these witnesses on the witness stand.

But what Mr. Ryan represented to the court in order to get my client remanded way back when was that Mr. Ruggiero was responsible for supervising this marijuana thing for the Gambino crime family.

If your Honor may recall, during the last court appearance, in order to diffuse my argument about the tapes, what Mr. Ryan said was now that Mr. Ruggiero was doing it behind Mr. Paradisio's back.

That is totally inconsistent with what they argued in order to get him remanded. That is the only answer they could give for the tapes, because it is clear that Mr. Ruggiero is not involved in Mr. Fakiris' or Mr. Zaccaro's activities.

		17
	In fact one statement Mr. Ruggiero makes to	
Mr.	Fakiris on the tapes is, you know, I never liked	

Zaccaro, that's why I never had anything to do with this

4 guy.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If Mr. Fakiris is wearing a wire for the government. All he has to say to Mr. Ruggiero is, What are you talking about? We have been dealing drugs with this guy for the last three years. What are we going to do? He is going to become a cooperator.

With all due respect, Judge, it is not subject to any other interpretation that my client wasn't involved. And why I emphasize it is, again, I'm not going to get this witness on the stand before my client sits in jail for a year, and now more time possibly. The only thing I have --

THE COURT: We are talking about two months.

MR. FROCARRO: Judge, two months: It is easy for him to say. Way back in October when we demanded a trial he said February.

THE COURT: Let's not change the position here. We can look at a trial in early May, for sure.

Now, anything more? Not on the tapes. Anything else about the dangerousness --

MR. FROCARRO: I just want to say one thing about the trial, too.

1	18 THE COURT: No. I'm going to ask you to address
	the factors that I am considering now.
2	
3	MR. FROCARRO: Okay. Another factor in terms of
4	the strength of their case?
5	THE COURT: No. The strength of the case.
6	MR. FROCARRO: Another factor, judge?
7	They seized drug records from those marijuana
8	warehouses. There is not one thing in those records that
9	links Mr. Ruggiero to the marijuana growing. Not one
0	thing.
1	THE COURT: In terms of surveillance. I thought
2	he said it had to do with distribution, not the growing.
13	MR. FROCARRO: They had records of who they were
14	distributing to.
15	THE COURT: I don't know.
16	MR. FROCARRO: I'm telling your Honor. I know a
17	lot more about it by virtue of obviously my familiarity
18	with the case.
19	Not one thing linking Angelo. No records
20	whatsoever in the world linking Angelo to that operation.
21	In terms of surveillance, the Nassau County cops
22	had the growing warehouses under surveillance. Not one
23	surveillance of Angelo Ruggiero ever having been there,
24	iudge.

In terms of his history and characteristics, he

	19
1	has no priors.
2	THE COURT: We are not up to that yet.
3	MR. FROCARRO: I'm jumping?
4	THE COURT: We are talking about the strength of
5	the case.
6	MR. FROCARRO: Judge, then I really don't think
7	there is anything else that I can say to convince your
8	Honor that they do not have a strong case here.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Ryan?
10	MR. RYAN: Judge, the evidence is of the
11	individuals who were involved, primarily. Certainly,
12	there is substantial evidence to show that the operation
13	did exist, that Mr. Fakiris was involved.
14	Mr. Fakiris' testimony will show that he was
15	under the control of Mr. Ruggiero because of his
16	association with the Gambino crime family and that the
17	arrangements were made as we have indicated.
18	The tapes occurred later on as an extortion from
19	his junk business, from when the Gambino crime family was
20	demanding money from the junk business after Mr. Fakiris.
21	THE COURT: Who, he?
22	MR. RYAN: Mr. Fakiris was in fact told by them
23	and indicated
24	THE COURT: Who is them?
25	MR. RYAN: Excuse me. Sorry, Judge. He was

1	20 told by Mr. Ruggiero and Mr. Paradisio to get out of the
2	marijuana business at one time and they were just going to
3	extort money from his junk business in The Bronx. And
4	that is in fact what they were doing when the tapes were
5	made.
6	It was some years after he had indicated to them
7	that he had stopped dealing with Mr. Zaccaro. And that is
8	the nature and content of those tapes.
9	THE COURT: All right. Now let's talk about
10	history and characteristics of the defendant.
11	MR. FROCARRO: Judge, my client has no prior
12	convictions for anything involving violence whatsoever.
13	THE COURT: He does have a pending case in the
14	Southern District.
15	MR. FROCARRO: That is a case where Judge
16	McKenna heard all the evidence and he said, you know what?
17	THE COURT: What are the charges?
18	MR. FROCARRO: Extortion involving Mr. Fakiris.
19	That's what charges are.
20	Judge McKenna heard the tapes, your Honor, he
21	didn't focus on the extortion portion, but if you hear the
22	tapes, all my client ever says to him: I love you guys.
23	We have been friends for years. I have never taken
24	anything off you that $I$ didn't work for. What's going on

here? That's it.

25

21 Judge McKenna heard the tapes and immediately 1 2 ordered my client released on a bond. I believe, judge, it is a 3 MR. RYAN: multimillion dollar bond with restrictions, for that 4 5 particular case. THE COURT: All right. Other characteristics 6 and history of the defendant: Family ties, employment, 7 community ties, past conduct. 8 MR. FROCARRO: Judge, I know they are not 9 labeling it that he is a flight risk, but in terms of his 10 family ties, he has very strong ties to the community. 11 He has a wife and three young children. He had 12 legitimate employment, at the time he was arrested, for 13 quite a period of time prior to his arrest. And in fact 14 15 he files tax returns, judge. THE COURT: What is that employment? 16 17 MR. FROCARRO: He worked at an auto shop 18 business, Judge. That is where he worked, auto parts 19 business. Junkyard, judge, essentially. 20 MR. RYAN: MR. FROCARRO: It is more politically correct an 21 auto parts business, Judge. That is where he works. 22 23 THE COURT: Where does he live? MR. FROCARRO: He lives with his wife and kids. 24

THE DEFENDANT: I live in Howard Beach. I live

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in an apartment.

MR. FROCARRO: He doesn't own his house. live in an apartment.

THE COURT: All right. The nature and seriousness of the danger to the community or to an individual.

What is the danger, to whom, and based on what? Judge, there have been threats made MR. RYAN: against Mr. Fakiris by numerous individuals as a result of the fact that it has been revealed that he's cooperating in this case. We believe that because --

THE COURT: Numerous individuals. But what about this defendant?

MR. RYAN: We believe, judge, that this defendant, which we are alleging is a member of the Gambino crime family, has the associations, connections, and wherewithal to be able to cause harm to Mr. Fakiris.

We believe that Mr. Fakiris is at risk. At extreme risk. We have recommended that he go into the witness protection program as quickly as possible. And we believe that this defendant's freedom would cause potential harm to Mr. Fakiris.

There is long history in the Gambino crime family of dealing violence to witnesses and to the administration of justice, and we believe that this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

23

defendant has connections that would be able to effect harm to individuals in this case.

MR. FROCARRO: Judge, with all due respect,

Mr. Fakiris would be the best witness that I could have in

my case.

THE COURT: You are going to have him your case.

MR. FROCARRO: I wouldn't want a hair touched on his chest. He's not going to say anything that's going to hurt my client.

He would be the most important witness we can call, No. 1, because he's going to clear my client.

No. 2, my client knows if anything happened to that fellow, all his statements come in without cross, which would be the worst thing that could happen to us.

In terms of being scared of Mr. Ruggiero, here is what your Honor has to consider.

Mr. Ruggiero's mother was dying from cancer at the time that he was arrested and your Honor was gracious enough to allow him to go to his mother's wake.

This man was so afraid of Mr. Ruggiero that he had the gall to show up at his mother's wake. Everyone knew he was cooperating at the time. Nothing happened to him. He was there. He's about the safest individual there is on the place of the earth right now, judge.

THE COURT: You might want to pass that on to

24 Mr. Fakiris. 1 MR. RYAN: I'm not going to say anything, judge. 2 THE COURT: Anything else as far as the 3 community or an individual? 4 MR. RYAN: No, judge. I believe that the record 5 6 is sufficiently clear. MR. FROCARRO: Judge, if I can just. 7 package that I have offered to your Honor is a very 8 substantial bond. And it is a restricted bond. It is a 9 bond where --10 THE COURT: You know, that is my next question 11 to the government. 12 If he is under house arrest, what is the fear of 13 the danger to the community? 14 It is the individuals he meets with, MR. RYAN: 15 Judge, the individuals that he associates with, and the 16 planning that he can do under those circumstances. Things 17 that he would not be able to do with those individuals at 18 a jail because people would not wish to be identified. 19 THE COURT: Is there no way of making certain 20 that he doesn't meet? 21 MR. RYAN: Judge, under the Second Circuit 22 ruling in US V Arena, the Second Circuit has indicated 23 that the restrictive type of witness lists, no organized 24

crime visitors, the type of expense and the type of

personnel that would be needed to be able to put the same type of restrictions on is just not an appropriate way to deal with these type of situations.

They have said in *Arena* that if the court is thinking of all those restrictions, then you might as well keep him in jail.

And that is the problem that we have, because we would have to essentially post either a guard or some sort of limitation; to put, to record his phone calls, to be able to ensure that that is the only method he has of communicating, to be able to restrict visitors, all the types of things that he is under those restrictions, now, of necessity, given the way the jail works. To be able to do that artificially in a home location just is not a practical solution and not -- even if we tried to do it, essentially we can't guarantee that's done.

MR. FROCARRO: Judge, I'm very familiar with the Arena case.

So your Honor can apply it in the proper context, it was a case involving the Colombo family war where 17 people were murdered, judge. They were out in the street, like Wild Bill Hickock, shooting people. That is the context.

Patty Amatto was the defendant. In a case of allegations like that, of 11 murders, there are no

Filed 07/26/2007

Page 41 of 57

26 1 conditions or combination of conditions that can 2 reasonably assure the safety of the community. 3 You want to know? I think they were right. 4 There was this interfamily war going on where everyone was 5 getting shot. 6 THE COURT: I'm sure the circuit will be 7 thrilled to hear that you think that. 8 MR. FROCARRO: Thanks. But Judge, the point --9 THE COURT: Tell the circuit that Mr. Frocarro 10 said right on on the Amatto case. 11 MR. FROCARRO: The point being you had an 12 interfamily war involved in a conspiracy to murder 17 13 people. 14 THE COURT: I think there is something to be 15 said for it because I was thinking in terms of even more 16 restrictions. And the point, as the Second Circuit has 17 apparently and even you say correctly found, if you are 18 talking about imposing phone restrictions and visitor 19 restrictions and access to different people restrictions, 20 then the person might as well be incarcerated. 21 I will tell you what I willing to do. I am 22 willing to have pretrial services interview him. 23 MR. FROCARRO: Judge, if I could just be heard a 24 little more. 25 THE COURT: Sure.

	27
1	MR. FROCARRO: Judge McKenna heard the same
2	thing. And you are a different judge, but he ordered
3	release on house arrest. I have been involved in murder
4	cases. Most recently I had a client charged with two
5	murders, before Judge Garaufis, named Restivo.
6	Judge Garaufis released him on bail on house
7	arrest and he limited who can come into the house. He was
8	only allowed out for legal meetings and medical emergency.
9	THE COURT: What about his telephone?
10	MR. FROCARRO: Telephone, they didn't even need
11	to in that case. And the government never appealed that
12	order. But we would have consented to it, judge. The
13	bottom line being and there was no motion whatsoever
14	during the course that he was out on bail.
15	I can list for your Honor about 10 or 15 other
16	cases where defendants were charged with murder, not
17	marijuana conspiracies, where the strength of their case
18	is not iron clad, not strong. I think Mr. Ruggiero, with
19	all due respect, is a prime candidate for release on house
20	arrest.
21	If they have any problem, if Mr. Fakiris is
22	threatened, he can get remanded. And his family, who is
23	willing to post all they own, will lose everything.
24	Under those circumstances Mr. Ruggiero would

really have to be a very selfish individual and very

Γ	28
1	stupid individual.
2	I have fought with your Honor continuously to
3	try to get him bail so that he could just go out and be
4	with his family.
5	If your Honor releases him, he will comply with
6	every single condition that your Honor imposes. He will
7	not let your Honor down. He will not let his family down.
8	MR. RYAN: Judge, this is a presumption case,
9	and unlike those other cases it is a case
10	MR. FROCARRO: Those were presumption cases.
11	Murder cases. They have probably an absolute presumption.
12	MR. RYAN: Unlike the case before Judge McKenna,
13	there is multiple violence in this case.
14	THE COURT: Are you saying there was no
15	presumption in the case before Judge McKenna?
16	MR. RYAN: No.
17	MR. FROCARRO: Crimes of violence.
18	MR. RYAN: The extortion case? No, judge.
19	MR. FROCARRO: It is crimes of violence, judge.
20	THE COURT: There was no presumption.
21	MR. FROCARRO: It is not a presumption case.
22	MR. RYAN: It is not a presumption case. This
23	is a case of presumption.
24	There is a history of violence in this
25	particular conspiracy. While there may have been

29 threatened violence in the extortion, there is no actual 1 2 violence, as exists here. THE COURT: You know, we do have pretrial, am I 3 correct, in the back the courtroom? 4 A SPECTATOR: Yes, judge. 5 THE COURT: How long would it take for you to 6 draw something up regarding --7 A SPECTATOR: In terms of --8 THE COURT: -- conditions and so forth? 9 A SPECTATOR: I discussed this with Mr. Ketcham, 10 about a release order? 11 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I think what the judge 12 means is, to do --13 THE COURT: -- a workup. 14 A SPECTATOR: -- an amended report? Shouldn't 15 take that long at all. 16 THE COURT: Was there an initial report? 17 A SPECTATOR: Yes. 18 MR. FROCARRO: Before you look at it, I'm going 19 to tell you. You know I'm impetuous, Judge. If you want 20 me to shut up, I will always shut up. 21 In the initial report, the government provided 22 pretrial services with information that he was involved in 23 a murder. They came back with a recommendation. They 24 Just so made no allegation he was involved in a murder. 25

```
30
     your Honor is aware of that.
1
                MR. RYAN: Attempted murder, Judge.
2
                THE COURT: Can I ask you something?
3
                MR. FROCARRO: I have the report with me.
4
                THE COURT: I was going to ask you if you are
5
     aware of any case similar to this one where the circuit
6
      has found that the presumption has been overcome.
7
                MR. FROCARRO: Off the top of my head? No.
8
      I will be honest with your Honor, in marijuana cases --
9
                THE COURT: Please. Always.
10
                MR. FROCARRO: -- I fight to get my clients out
11
                I'm just telling you truth.
12
      on bail.
                THE COURT: How many cases do you have like
13
      this?
14
                MR. FROCARRO: Not in Central Islip, thank
15
16
      goodness.
                                 Not in Central Islip.
17
                THE DEFENDANT:
                THE COURT: What is the case?
18
                 THE DEFENDANT: I have it in my book.
19
                 MR. FROCARRO: Get it.
20
                 THE DEFENDANT: I've got two cases for you.
21
22
       Second Circuit.
                 (Counsel and client confer.)
23
                 MR. FROCARRO: Judge? I'm embarrassed to say
24
25
       that my client has two cases.
```

32 has nothing to do with the presumption. 1 THE COURT: Based on speedy trial. 2 MR. RYAN: Based on constitutional due process 3 4 delay. THE COURT: Still, speedy trial as opposed to 5 6 statutory. MR. RYAN: As opposed to statutory. 7 MR. FROCARRO: Can I just add one thing to that, 8 9 judge, then? I have made an argument to your Honor that at 10 least 180 days of nonexcludable delay had accumulated 11 under the act with respect to the charge he was arrested 12 13 for. There is also a case United States v Gambino 14 which the clock from that case is going to come over to 15 the second case. So the government in my opinion has also 16 clearly violated his speedy trial rights. 17 THE COURT: That is a whole nuther application. 18 MR. FROCARRO: But he is saying that that is 19 what that case deals with. So if that is a factor for 20 your Honor to consider, it is out there. 21 THE COURT: How many prior convictions does your 22 client have for nonviolent crimes? 23 MR. FROCARRO: For nonviolent crime, I believe 24 25 three, Judge.

```
33
               THE DEFENDANT: One case. All consolidated
1
2
     parts.
               MR. FROCARRO: One case, all consolidated,
3
4
     judge.
                THE COURT: '96?
5
6
                MR. FROCARRO: Yes.
                THE COURT: Criminal possession of a weapon.
7
                MR. FROCARRO: Dismissed.
8
                THE COURT: Really? Sentenced one to three
9
              Sentenced 10/13/98.
10
      years.
                MR. FROCARRO: That is not the case that he was
11
12
      sentenced on, judge. That was a bank.
                What the case involved, so your Honor is aware,
13
14
      it was a case where they deposited inadvertently, the
15
      banks, like $100,000-something.
                THE COURT: It was possession of a firearm.
16
17
                MR. FROCARRO: But that case was dismissed,
      Judge. That is not the one that he was convicted of.
18
                MR. RYAN: I believe --
19
                                                      It was
                MR. FROCARRO: He wasn't convicted.
20
21
      dismissed.
                MR. RYAN: They were combined, judge. I believe
22
      he was sentenced on, the illegal possession of a vehicle
23
24
      identity number was the felony, but it appears that the
25
      others were dismissed in satisfaction.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

violated their speedy trial rights.

THE COURT: So it wasn't based on what we have here, which is a question of whether the presumption has been overcome.

MR. FROCARRO: No, I don't believe they were, Judge. They weren't.

THE COURT: It is a whole different thing, then, really.

MR. FROCARRO: But it isn't, your Honor, with all due respect, because they violated his speedy trial rights clearly on the --

THE COURT: If you want to make an application based on speedy trial, then go ahead, but that is not what we are about today.

MR. FROCARRO: No. But if that is what those cases say, then that is a factor for the court to consider.

I did argue, in the context of that, the case should be dismissed with prejudice, that speedy trial had been violated.

THE COURT: Let's not confuse the application

36 1 again. If you want a make an application based on 2 constitutional due process, failure to prosecute or to 3 observe appropriate speedy trial time, that is fine. But 4 that is not what we are about today. 5 MR. FROCARRO: Okay. 6 THE COURT: I have no problem with you making 7 that application, but that is not what we are about today. 8 So is it worth while for me to read those cases? 9 I would say no. MR. FROCARRO: 10 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. 11 Judge, were willing, for MR. FROCARRO: 12 Mr. Ruggiero, to go the extra yard. If your Honor wants a 13 list that he can't see anyone except for certain family 14 members, we are fine with that. If you want the phone 15 monitored, we are fine with that, which is more than Judge 16 17 McKenna ordered. THE COURT: Different case. 18 MR. FROCARRO: That is why I'm volunteering 19 20 more. THE COURT: Different judge. 21 MR. FROCARRO: I know. 22 THE COURT: Off the record now. 23 (Discussion off the record.) 24 THE COURT: We are correct that there is no 25

question	of	flight	here.	You	are	not	concerned	about
flight.								

MR. RYAN: No, judge. We are concerned with dangerousness to the community and the presumption.

THE COURT: I actually think that this case is closest to the case of *United States v Mercedes*. In that case the district court had actually found that the government had failed to meet its burden, and there was a reversal based on the fact, and this is what the Circuit said: "We agree with the government that these factors, that is the statement that he was not a flight risk, he was willing to be monitored at home with extensive bail conditions, they said the factors that were considered cannot outweigh the presumption."

And then they went on to say: "We need not address the broader question of whether these showings can ever rebut the presumption of dangerousness."

MR. FROCARRO: But, judge, then you could never get bail on a presumption case. That is impossible.

The presumption has got to be rebutted, and in conjunction with --

THE COURT: In that case, which is the closest I could find to this, there was not such a finding, and the Circuit reversed the district court for that.

So I don't know what would constitute

38 rebutting the presumption, but I would have to say, based 1 upon what has been elicited here, considering the factors, 2 there hasn't been an overcoming of the presumption. 3 MR. FROCARRO: But Judge, the way it is 4 rebutted, your Honor, from my knowledge of the law and his 5 prior characteristics, he has no violence --6 THE COURT: They say take all the factors 7 together, which I have to do. I can't take one and not 8 9 the other. MR. FROCARRO: How could anybody ever get bail 10 on a production case then? You are saying it's impossible 11 12 to rebut. THE COURT: I'm not saying it is impossible, but 13 it would require more than what is here. 14 MR. FROCARRO: What more can I do? 15 THE COURT: I don't know. That is a rhetorical 16 17 question. MR. FROCARRO: But Judge, in these murder cases 18 I have worked on, there is a presumption, the same 19 presumption that your Honor is reading there, and in fact 20 in that case he was involved in violence. It is a murder. 21 There's no more serious crime under federal law. Life, no 22 parole. These men are getting bail because the 23 24 presumption is rebutted. When I say rebutted, it is rebutted in terms of 25

the strength of the case; rebutted in terms of the fact that there are a condition or a combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of the community.

If the logic was that any time someone is charged with a presumption crime they can't get bail, our jails would be overflooded. Nobody would be able to get bail. Every drug case is a presumption case, just about.

It is discretionary. I am not going to give you a definition of what constitutes rebutted. It is something that is discretionary. Your Honor factors in all those different factors.

THE COURT: If you want me to go through all the factors, I am happy to do that. And I have to say -- I'm not happy to say but I have to say -- that they do not weigh in favor of release if I went through them.

If anything, considering the very serious nature of the crime, which definitely weighs in favor of continued detention, the weight of the evidence in this particular case, not taking into account anything that was said about future indictments or other cases, weighs 50/50. It doesn't way in your favor.

MR. FROCARRO: But 50/50 is not a preponderance.

THE COURT: It is one factor. There is no statement by the Circuit that one factor trumps all the others. That is not at all what it says. It says the

24

25

40 presumption is still a factor to be considered even if you 1 2 do rebut it. So even assuming that you can rebut it, I would 3 still, considering all five factors, have to say the 4 detention is warranted here. I'm sorry. 5 MR. FROCARRO: I know. But if you look at every 6 factor, if he had a history of violence I would tell your 7 Honor, I would actually tell your Honor you are right. 8 THE COURT: It doesn't say history of violence. 9 10 It says history and characteristics. The history shows prior convictions. Perhaps 11 not of a violent nature, but there is definitely a history 12 here. And the allegations regarding the danger to an 13 individual, the possible testifying witnesses, you know, I 14 15 have to say --MR. FROCARRO: That is inherent in every case. 16 THE COURT: You can't keep coming back at me. 17 I have to tell you I have gone through every 18 single factor, the way that I'm required to do, and I feel 19 comfortable now. I was not comfortable, frankly, after 20 our last meeting because I felt that I was perhaps putting 21 the cart before the horse regarding the dismissal 22 application. I told you that. But I think that based

upon what has come forth this afternoon, that the

defendant must be detained.

41 MR. FROCARRO: Can I just say one thing so there 1 2 is a complete record, judge? 3 THE COURT: Absolutely. MR. FROCARRO: In case there is an appeal? 4 5 THE COURT: Absolutely. MR. FROCARRO: I do not have to make a speedy 6 7 trial motion for your Honor to consider the fact --THE COURT: I think you do. 8 MR. FROCARRO: No. -- that he has been in. 9 10 That he has been in. And also --THE COURT: Excuse me. You are doing it on a 11 12 statutory basis or constitutional? 13 MR. FROCARRO: Both. THE COURT: Well, you certainly do have to make 14 a motion, and you must detail the number of days that you 15 feel there was no consent and the days that consent was 16 17 given, and so forth. MR. FROCARRO: But I did that in the context of 18 19 my Rule 48, Judge. THE COURT: No, you did not. You did not detail 20 21 from this date to this date, from this date, from this 22 conference to this conference. 23 MR. FROCARRO: On August 17 I said we are ready for trial, and every time after that I said we are ready 24 25 and did not waive. I submitted that in the course of my

42 1 Rule 48 papers. THE COURT: But that is not an application for a 2 3 speedy trial dismissal. MR. FROCARRO: But that is, I'm just saying in 4 the context of bail. Your Honor, I'm sorry. I just don't 5 6 agree. 7 THE COURT: I know you don't. MR. FROCARRO: We are going to be taking an 8 It is going to happen a lot. That is why there 9 10 is appellate courts. THE COURT: Maybe yes. Maybe no. Who knows? 11 MR. FROCARRO: The only thing I would say is, we 12 were ready to go to trial. In affect the government 13 caused it to be canceled. And the net benefit to the 14 government is that he just sits in jail. 15 THE COURT: You can address in your speedy trial 16 motion that these days from February 5 until whatever the 17 date we actually go to trial should not count against him. 18 19 But, yes, it requires a written motion. 20 So the defendant at this point is remanded. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: March 29 at 11:30, with 21 22 the codefendant, for a pretrial conference. 23 MR. FROCARRO: Take care. 24 (Proceedings adjourned at 3:20 pm.) 25